PATENT APPLICATION FEE DETERMINATION RECORD Effective October 1, 2003 **CLAIMS AS FILED - PART I SMALL ENTITY** OTHER THAN (Column 1) (Column 2) TYPE [OR SMALL ENTITY **TOTAL CLAIMS** RATE FEE RATE FEE **FOR** NUMBER FILED BASIC FEE NUMBER EXTRA 385.00 BASIC FEE 770.00 OR TOTAL CHARGEABLE CLAIMS minus 20= X\$ 9= X\$18= OR INDEPENDENT CLAIMS minus 3 = X43= X86= 86 OR MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENT +145= +290= OR * If the difference in column 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in column 2 TOTAL OR TOTAL UNITY OF CLAIMS AS AMENDED - PART II OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY OR (Column 1) SMALL ENTITY (Column 2) (Column 3) CLAIMS HIGHEST 4 ADDI-ADDI-REMAINING NUMBER PRESENT **AMENDMENT AFTER** PREVIOUSLY RATE TIONAL RATE TIONAL **EXTRA** AMENDMENT PAID FOR FEE FEE Total 13 Minus 20 X\$ 9= X\$18= OR Independent Minus X43= X86= 86 OR FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM +145= +290= OR TOTAL OR ADDIT. FEE 86 ADDIT. FEE (Column 1) (Column 2) (Column 3) CLAIMS HIGHEST 0 ADDI-REMAINING ADDI-NUMBER PRESENT **AMENDMENT** AFTER **PREVIOUSLY** RATE TIONAL RATE TIONAL **EXTRA** AMENDMENT PAID FOR FEE FEE Total Minus X\$ 9= X\$18= OR Independent Minus *** X43= X86= OR FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM +145= +290= OR TOTAL TOTAL ADDIT. FEE ADDIT. FEE (Column 1) (Column 3) (Column 2) CLAIMS HIGHEST REMAINING ADDI-NUMBER ADDI-PRESENT **AMENDMENT AFTER** PREVIOUSLY TIONAL RATE **EXTRA** RATE TIONAL **AMENDMENT** PAID FOR FEE FEE Total Minus X\$ 9= X\$18= OR Independent Minus *** X43= X86= FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM OR +145= OR +290= If the entry in column 1 is less than the entry in column 2, write "0" in column 3. ** If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20." TOTAL TOTAL OR ADDIT. FEE "If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3." ADDIT. FEE The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in column 1.

Application or Docket Number

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CENT

RZCEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 2 1 2004

In re Application of Andrew Baskerville)

Application No.: 10/690,419

Filed: 10/20/2003

For: Underwater Energy Dampening

Device

Date: September 21, 2004

Group Art Unit 3662

Examiner: Ian Lobo

Attorney Ref. No.: 147.04

Petition for Extension of Time

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir or Madam:

The applicant herewith petitions the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to extend the time for reply to the office action dated May 28, 2004 for 1 month from August 28, 2004 to September 28, 2004. Please charge my deposit account number 502321 in the amount of \$ 55 for the extension. Any deficiency or overpayment may also be charged or credited to the above-numbered account.

Date: September 21, 2004

Rv.

Van Magun

Daniel P. Magdire, Reg. 41,506.

09/28/2004 DBATES

00000014-502321

10690419

01 FC:2251 -

55.00 DA~ 86.00 DA

RZCEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 2 1 2004

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of Andrew Baskerville

Application No.: 10/690,419

Filed: 10/20/2003

For: Underwater Energy Dampening

Device

Date: September 21, 2004

Group Art Unit 3662

Examiner: Ian Lobo

Attorney Ref. No.: 147.04

Response to Office Action with Amendments

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Dear Sir or Madam:

The applicants respectfully respond to the office action issued on May 28, 2004 with the following remarks and amendments.

Remarks

As amended, all the claims are now allowable, as explained in detail below.

Claims 5 - 10

These claims are allowable, since the examiner indicated that these claims would be approved if claim 5 were re-written in independent form, with the limitations of claim 1 - 4 incorporated into claim 5.

The applicant has now complied with the examiner's suggestion, and these claims are therefore allowable.

Claim 11

As amended, this claim is now allowable since it is limited to a "a stationary project area," in contrast to the prior art.

In rejecting this claim, the examiner has relied on Fessenden (U.S. Pat. No. 1,348,828) and Lee (U.S. Pat. No. 6,606,278). However, neither of these references shows or suggests a bubble-producing unit surrounding the sides of a "stationary project area." Instead, both of these patents use bubble producing units in connection with moving

PAGE 319 * RCVD AT 9/21/2004 6:32:47 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-14 * DNIS:8729306 * CSED:5307503793 * DURATION (mm-ss):02:40